





US-APWR Design Centered Working Group Risk Informed Technical Specifications

November 3, 2010







Agenda

- □ Introductions and Opening Remarks
- ☐ Meeting Objectives
- □ Background and Challenges
- □ Open Discussion of Options
- □ Potential Paths Going Forward
- □ Summary and Conclusion







Meeting Objectives

- □ To attain a common understanding of the current status
- □ To identify and understand the challenges
- □ To explore/discuss potential solutions
- □ To identify options going forward
- ☐ To discuss and understand potential impacts on COLA review
- □ To discuss options if impacts on schedule are unacceptable







Background - US-APWR DCWG

- □ Formed in April 2007 membership:
 - Mitsubishi Nuclear Energy Systems (MNES) representing the US-APWR DCD
 - Luminant (R-COLA) and
 - Dominion (S-COLA)
- □ Public meetings with NRC in 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010
- Focused on standardization and joint resolution of common issues







Background – Risk Informed Technical Specifications

- □ As part of original COL Application, Luminant requested Risk
 Informed Technical Specification (RITS)
 - NEI 06-09, 4B, Risk Managed TS (RMTS), Allowed outage times
 - NEI 04-10, 5B, Surveillance Frequencies
- □ NRC Schedule letter of March 16, 2009, cautioned that completing the review within the proposed schedule was a challenge but the NRC wanted to pursue the review and meet with Luminant in the future to discuss







Challenges

- □ NRC has never approved a RITS before the supporting PRA had been accepted.
- □ The level of PRA needed (per RG 1.200 and regulations) cannot be completed until after COL issued because some as-built information is needed
- □ ISG-08, based on application of AEA, requires a complete set of Technical Specifications with the COL
- □ A fully described program (e.g., setpoint methodology) is acceptable if contained in the TS
- □ Risk Metrics unresolved for new plants







Potential Paths Going Forward

- □ Select some paths to pursue as potential paths for success
- □ Discuss schedules for each path







Summary and Conclusion

Don Woodlan Manager, Nuclear Regulatory Affairs Luminant